

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOV  RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT N	· · · —			
AIRS ID#: 0110020 DATE: <u>5/13/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	DEPART: <u>1:30</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUDERDALE READY-MIX CCB PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2500 SW 2ND AVE				
FT LAUDERDALE 33315-3114				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER Email: tlancaster@titanamerica.com Mobil CONTACT NAME: BRUCE HALLER Email:  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/30/2008 / 11/30/2013 (effective date) (end date)	NE: (954)761-1944			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LANCASTER?	Yes 🗵No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still BRUCE HALLER?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

### **Emissions Unit Section**

8 - CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse, easternmost subject to Reasonable Precautions

DARKA BU E DEVIEW DDIOD TO INCDECTION	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/12 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
· · · ·	ļ
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	_
control emissions? Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	ļ
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section
9 – CCB Plant#1-splitsilo compart.#1w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 7/5/2013     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \Delta N/A \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DARTH FIRM DORGEDVATIONG D.L. (2.20/ 414/2) E.A. C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	∇ Vac	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	M 168	L NO
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	
particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· L Yes	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section
10 –CCB Plant#1-splitsilo,compart.#2w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/12 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	□ NO
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section
11 –CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/individ.silotopb-house(standalone) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/2012  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:</li> </ol>	onfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?	to Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	
particulate matter?	of	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	? \(\sum \text{Yes}\)	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 12 –CCB Plant#1-weigh hopper & truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fell paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No
particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 13 –CCB Plant#2-silo#1 (cement)w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 7/5/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yar</u>	de	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Foints, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Tar	<u>us</u>	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:</li> </ol>	unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or mo		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when neces</li> </ol>	sary to	□ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	<b>⊠</b> v	□ Na
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain	ment of	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	\(\sum \text{ Yes}\)	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the t	ruck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 14 –CCB Plant#2-silo#2 (cement)w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Ruie 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Foints, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Talus		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:</li> </ol>	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	□ N-
<ul><li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li><li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li><li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 15 –CCB Plant#2-weighhopper&truck loadout w/cent. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/5/2012  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	⊠ Yes	□ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	ane/yr < 1.00 e/yr	)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both some concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (A)		(check 🗹 o box for each og question 2.)	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification.	ess days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		□ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹 o	•
<ol> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?	tantially different?	-	<ul><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li></ul>
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		mitted - Yes	☐ No
C.Pitters			
	5/13/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	5/13/2013  Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection	pection	